

## THE KALMAN FILTER<sup>1</sup>

The Kalman Filter<sup>2</sup> is a recursive program which estimates the "actual" value of a random variable (r.v.) vector  $\mathbf{x}$  in a set of observations

$$\mathbf{z} = \mathbf{H}\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{v}$$

where  $\mathbf{z}$  are the observations,  $\mathbf{H}$  is the transformation matrix, and  $\mathbf{v}$  is an error vector.

**Background.** The least squares estimate  $\hat{\mathbf{x}}$  which minimizes a cost function

$$J = (\mathbf{z} - \mathbf{H}\hat{\mathbf{x}})^T \mathbf{R}^{-1} (\mathbf{z} - \mathbf{H}\hat{\mathbf{x}})$$

is

$$\hat{\mathbf{x}} = [\mathbf{H}^T \mathbf{R}^{-1} \mathbf{H}]^{-1} \mathbf{H}^T \mathbf{R}^{-1} \mathbf{z}$$

where  $\mathbf{R}$  is a matrix of weights and the cost function  $J$  measures the weighted sum of squares of deviations. This is a purely deterministic solution, obtained by minimizing  $J$  by setting its gradient to zero and solving for  $\hat{\mathbf{x}}$ .

Alternatively, one can argue that the "best" estimate is the value  $\hat{\mathbf{x}}$  which maximizes the probability of  $\mathbf{z}$  having occurred, given known statistical properties of  $\mathbf{v}$ . This is called the *maximum likelihood* estimate. This approach postulates any number of possible vectors  $\mathbf{x}$  and *maximizes* the conditional probability  $p(\mathbf{z}|\mathbf{x})$ .

A third alternative is to use a Bayesian approach, in which the *a posteriori* probability of  $\mathbf{x}$ , given observations  $\mathbf{z}$ , is found from

$$p(\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{z}) = \frac{p(\mathbf{z}|\mathbf{x})p(\mathbf{x})}{p(\mathbf{z})} \quad (1)$$

where  $p(\mathbf{x})$  is an assumed *a priori* distribution on  $\mathbf{x}$ . We can assume any *pdf* on  $\mathbf{x}$  we choose; Bayes theorem will yield the appropriate conditional probability  $p(\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{z})$ , given appropriate distributions on  $\mathbf{z}|\mathbf{x}$  and  $\mathbf{z}$ .

The distribution on  $\mathbf{z}|\mathbf{x}$  can be evaluated from the observations, as can that on  $\mathbf{z}$ . The the issue is finding a method to maximize equation (1). By choosing a loss function in the form of a variance

---

<sup>1</sup> [CAL] C. A. La Varre, 29 Farewell Street, Newport, RI 02840-2563, January 1994

<sup>2</sup> [Gelb] Arthur Gelb, ed., *Applied Optimal Estimation*, The MIT Press, 1974, ISBN 0 262-70008-5, pp. 103ff.

$$L(\hat{\mathbf{x}}) = [\hat{\mathbf{x}} - \mathbf{x}]^T \mathbf{S} [\hat{\mathbf{x}} - \mathbf{x}]$$

we can then compute a *variance* cost function as the expected value of the variance over all possible values of  $\mathbf{x}$ , given a conditional probability of  $\mathbf{x}$  on  $\mathbf{z}$ :

$$J = \iiint_{\mathbf{x}} [\hat{\mathbf{x}} - \mathbf{x}]^T \mathbf{S} [\hat{\mathbf{x}} - \mathbf{x}] p(\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{z}) dx_1 dx_2 \dots dx_n \quad (2)$$

where  $\mathbf{S}$  is some positive semidefinite matrix. Minimizing  $J$  with respect to  $\hat{\mathbf{x}}$  gives a *minimum variance* estimate  $\hat{\mathbf{x}}$ . The minimization is accomplished again by setting the gradient of  $J$  to zero and solving for  $\hat{\mathbf{x}}$ . It is given that the solution of this minimization is

$$\hat{\mathbf{x}} = [\mathbf{P}_0^{-1} \mathbf{H}^T \mathbf{R}^{-1} \mathbf{H}]^{-1} \mathbf{H}^T \mathbf{R}^{-1} \mathbf{z} \quad (3)$$

where  $\mathbf{P}_0$  is the *a priori* covariance matrix of  $\mathbf{x}$  and Gaussian distributions are assumed for  $\mathbf{x}$  and  $\mathbf{v}$ . This assumption is ameliorated by the observation that

Most often all we know about the characterization of a given random process is its autocorrelation function. But there always exists a Gaussian random process possessing the same autocorrelation function; we therefore might as well assume that the given random process is itself Gaussian.<sup>3</sup>

**The Kalman Filter.** The Kalman filter uses these principles to calculate the optimal value of two matrices  $\mathbf{K}_k$  and  $\mathbf{K}'_k$  which is used in a recursion formula to calculate the next value of the estimate  $\hat{\mathbf{x}}$  in the form

$$\hat{\mathbf{x}}_k^+ = \mathbf{K}_k \hat{\mathbf{x}}_k^- + \mathbf{K}'_k \mathbf{z}_k \quad (4)$$

where  $\hat{\mathbf{x}}_k^+$  is the *a posteriori* estimate and  $\hat{\mathbf{x}}_k^-$  is the *a priori* estimate for the  $k$ -th estimate of  $\mathbf{x}$ .

Each of these vary from the actual value  $\mathbf{x}$  by some estimation error, respectively  $\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_k^+$  and  $\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_k^-$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\mathbf{x}}_k^+ &= \mathbf{x} + \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_k^+ \\ \hat{\mathbf{x}}_k^- &= \mathbf{x} + \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_k^- \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

The recursive nature of (4) indicates that successive observations  $\mathbf{z}_k$  are obtained as

$$\mathbf{z}_k = \mathbf{H} \mathbf{x}_k + \mathbf{v}_k \quad (6)$$

---

<sup>3</sup> *Ibid.*, p. 105.

An assumption of zero mean observation and estimation errors results in

$$\mathbf{K}'_k = \mathbf{I} - \mathbf{K}_k \mathbf{H}_k \quad (7)$$

Substituting (5), (6), and (7) in (4) results in an expression for the *a posteriori* error:

$$\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_k^+ = [\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{K}_k \mathbf{H}_k] \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_k^- + \mathbf{K}_k \mathbf{v}_k \quad (8)$$

and an expression for  $\mathbf{x}_k^+$ :

$$\mathbf{x}_k^+ = \mathbf{x}_k^- + \mathbf{K}_k [\mathbf{z}_k - \mathbf{H}_k \mathbf{x}_k^-] \quad (9)$$

The task then is to find a value for  $\mathbf{K}_k$ . This is accomplished following equation (2) by minimizing  $\mathbf{P}_k^+$ , the expected variance of the *a posteriori* error  $\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_k^+$ . The error covariance matrix is

$$\mathbf{P}_k^+ = E[\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_k^+ \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_k^{+\text{T}}] \quad (10)$$

Expanding (10) with (8) and substituting

$$E[\mathbf{v}_k \mathbf{v}_k^{\text{T}}] = \mathbf{R}_k$$

gives an expression for  $\mathbf{P}_k^+$  which can be minimized with respect to  $\mathbf{K}_k$ , resulting in

$$\mathbf{K}_k = \mathbf{P}_k^- \mathbf{H}_k^{\text{T}} [\mathbf{H}_k \mathbf{P}_k^- \mathbf{H}_k^{\text{T}} + \mathbf{R}_k]^{-1} \quad (11)$$

where the generalized covariance matrix  $\mathbf{R}_k$  is estimated on each successive set of observations as<sup>4</sup> by

$$\mathbf{R}_k = \frac{1}{n-1} \mathbf{x}_k \left[ \mathbf{I} - \frac{1}{n} \mathbf{1} \mathbf{1}^{\text{T}} \right] \mathbf{x}_k^{\text{T}} \quad (12)$$

and  $\mathbf{1}$  is the  $n \times 1$  vector of 1s.

A simpler expression for  $\mathbf{P}_k^+$  is obtained from (11):

$$\mathbf{P}_k^+ = [\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{K}_k \mathbf{H}_k] \mathbf{P}_k^- \quad (13)$$

---

<sup>4</sup> [Wichem] Richard A. Johnson and Dean W. Wichem, *Applied Multivariate Statistical Analysis*, Prentice Hall, 1988, ISBN 0-13-041146-9, p. 111

Strictly, we find the next *a priori* error covariance matrix,  $\mathbf{P}_{k+1}^-$  from the state transition matrix  $\mathbf{F}_k$ , where  $\mathbf{x}_{k+1}^- = \mathbf{F}_k \mathbf{x}_k^+$  and

$$\mathbf{P}_{k+1}^- = \mathbf{F}_k \mathbf{P}_k^+ \mathbf{F}_k^T + \mathbf{Q}_k \quad (14)$$

where  $\mathbf{Q}_k$  is the covariance of the system noise  $\mathbf{w}_k$ . In practice we take  $\mathbf{F}_k = \mathbf{I}$  and  $\mathbf{Q}_k = 0$ . Under this process, then

$$\mathbf{P}_{k+1}^- = \mathbf{P}_k^+ \quad (15)$$

In summary, given initial conditions for the unknown variables  $\mathbf{x}_0$ , the error covariance matrix  $\mathbf{P}_0$ , and the observation error covariance matrix  $\mathbf{R}_0$  with a given system model

$$\mathbf{z}_k = \mathbf{H}\mathbf{x}_k + \mathbf{v}_k \quad (6)$$

we calculate the *Kalman gain matrix*  $\mathbf{K}_k$  with (11) and the updated variable covariance error matrix with (13), then calculate the next variable estimate from (9):

$$\mathbf{x}_k^+ = \mathbf{x}_k^- + \mathbf{K}_k \left[ \mathbf{z}_k - \mathbf{H}_k \mathbf{x}_k^- \right] \quad (9)$$

We then set  $\mathbf{P}_{k+1}^- = \mathbf{P}_k^+$  and continue iteration until (9) converges satisfactorily.